UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Ben Furth, an individual,	
	CASE NO. CV-11-00071-DMR
Plaintiff(s),	
	CENTRAL A TROMA AND INDODOCUEDA
V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
FREDERICK P. FURTH, an individual, THE FURTH FIRM LLP, and DOES 1-100	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process:	lentify process and provider)
	son, Calladine & Peterson LLP, on June 27, 2011
	,
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
✓ other requested deadline Mediation scheduled for June 27, 2011	
Dated: 6/1/2011	/s/ Frank A. Cialone
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 6/1/2011	/s/ Daniel S. Mason
	Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

X Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

X other no later than 6/27/11

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/6/11

DONNA M. RYU

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE